	Case3:13-cv-00453-JST Document36	66-2 Filed09/10/13 Page1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6 7		
8	LIMITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COLIDT
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
10	MARC OPPERMAN, et al.,	Case No. 13-cv-00453-JST
11	Plaintiff,	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>
12	v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING KIK INTERACTIVE, INC.'S MOTION TO
13	PATH, INC. et al.,	DISMISS PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 12 (b)(2)
14	Defendant.	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES ONLY TO:
15		OPPERMAN, ET AL. V. PATH, INC., ET AL., No. 13-cv-00453-JST
16		
17	OSCAR HERNANDEZ, et al.,	
18	Plaintiff,	
19	v.	Case No. 12-cv-01515-JST
20 21	PATH, INC. et al.,	
22	Defendant.	
23	MARIA PIROZZI,	
24	Plaintiff,	Case No. 12-cv-01515-JST
25	v.	Case 10. 12-07-01313-351
26	APPLE, INC.,	
27	Defendant.	
28		<del></del>
COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN DIEGO		[PROPOSED] <b>ORDER GRANTING KIK</b> 1. <b>INTERACTIVE, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS</b> CASE NO. 13-CV-00453-JST

## 

1	Before the Court is Kik Interactive, Inc.'s ("Kik") Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Federal
2	Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(2). The Court has considered the Motion, the Declaration of Peter
3	Heinke in support thereof, all papers filed in support of and/or in opposition to the Motion, the
4	arguments of counsel, and all other materials properly considered by the Court.
5	It Is Hereby Ordered:
6	The motion is <b>GRANTED</b> . It is further ordered that all claims against Kik stated in
7	Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint are hereby dismissed with prejudice
8	pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(2).
9	
10	It Is So Ordered.
11	Dated:
12	The Honorable Jon S. Tigar United States District Judge
13	2677655/ST
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	[Proposed] Order Granting Kik
w	I NOT COLD ON THE GRANTING HIS

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAV SAN DIEGO